Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and

amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended due to formal matters.

Claims 1-2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kyoichi et al.

(JP-10039981A). For the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed.

Kyoichi does not disclose or teach "attribute selection judgement means for judging

according to outputs of said selection means and said analysis means whether or not an anchor

having an attribute corresponding to said selection means is selected" and "attribute activation

judgement means for judging according to an output of the attribute selection judgement means

whether or not said anchor having said attribute corresponding to said selection means is

activated" as recited in claim 1.

The Kyoichi abstract discloses user input detecting means 108, but Kyoichi does not teach

or disclose an anchor with an attribute corresponding to the selection means. Since Kyoichi

does not teach an anchor with an attribute corresponding to the selection means, Kyoichi does

not teach or disclose attribute selection judgement means for judging that such an anchor is

selected or attribute activation judgement means for judging that such an anchor is activated.

Therefore, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach all the elements of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 2, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach "attribute activation judgement

means for judging according to an output of said selection means and said analysis means

whether or not an anchor having an attribute corresponding to said selection means is activated"

Page 5 of 6

Appl. No. 09/622,662

Amdt. Dated November 16, 2004

Reply to Office action of August 16, 2004

as recited in claim 2.

As mentioned previously for claim 1, the Kyoichi abstract discloses user input detecting

means 108, but Kyoichi does not teach or disclose an anchor with an attribute corresponding to

the selection means. Since Kyoichi does not teach an anchor with an attribute corresponding to

the selection means, Kyoichi does not teach or disclose attribute activation judging means for

judging that such an anchor is activated. Thus, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach all the

elements of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a

condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the

application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same

to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 32908.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:

Michael W. Garvey, Reg. No. 35878

1801 East 9th Street **Suite 1200** Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: November 16, 2004